UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,796	10/06/2003	Mary Czerwinski	MSFT121091	3699
	7590 04/14/200 N, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS	
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	x 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/679,796	CZERWINSKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179		

		NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179	
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25	5 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. X The reply was fil application, application in co	led after a final rejection, but prior to or on licant must timely file one of the following andition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🛛 The period fo	or reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date	of the final rejection.		
no event, how Examiner Not MONTHS OF	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A wever, will the statutory period for reply expire late: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the da under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is set forth in (b) above, if c	be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the for purposes of determining the period of extical calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the schecked. Any reply received by the Office later patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice	ppeal was filed on A brief in comp of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Il has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a)⊠ They raise	amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be new issues that would require further cor to the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ⊠ They are r appeal; ai	not deemed to place the application in bet nd/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They pres	ent additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
_	<u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ats are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	maliant Amandment /	OTOL 224)
	ly has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant Amendment (r	- 1 OL-324).
	d or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
how the new or	fappeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I amended claims would be rejected is prove claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed				
	ed to: d: <u>1-3,5-9,12-15,17,18,48-54,57-61,63 <i>an</i> awn from consideration:</u> .	d 84-87.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHE				
because applica	other evidence filed after a final action, bu ant failed to provide a showing of good and presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered because	other evidence filed after the date of filing e the affidavit or other evidence failed to o and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	rother evidence is entered. An explanation ONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	r reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
	ned Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Weilun Lo/ Supervisory Patent	t Examiner, Art Unit 2179			
- <del>-</del>				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation introduces new issues to at least independent claims 1, 48 and 84.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Based on amendments to at least independent claims 1, 48 and 84, further consideration of the current rejection or a new search must be conducted in view of the amendments to the claims.